

to discussing the application of electricity for illuminating purposes, to the transmission of motive power, and in metallurgic processes. Recent improvements in the means of obtaining powerful electric currents seem to open up a prospect of such applications as those just mentioned, assuming in the near future greater practical importance than they have hitherto possessed, and it does not seem unlikely that, whether or not they think fit to assume the wider designation, the Society of Telegraph Engineers will have become a Society of Electrical Engineers.

G. C. F.

## TAIT'S "THERMODYNAMICS"<sup>1</sup>

### II.

*Sketch of Thermodynamics.* By P. G. Tait, M.A., formerly Fellow of St. Peter's College, Cambridge, Professor of Natural Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh. Second Edition, revised and extended. (Edinburgh : David Douglas, 1877.)

PROF. CLAUSIUS is himself the principal founder of the kinetic theory of gases. The theory of the exchanges of the energy of collections of molecules was afterwards developed by Boltzmann to a much greater extent than had been done by Clausius, and it appears from his investigations that whether we suppose the molecules to be acted on by forces towards fixed centres or not, the condition of equilibrium of exchange of energy, or in other words the condition of equality of temperature of two bodies, is that the average kinetic energy of translation of a single molecule is the same in both bodies.

We may therefore define the temperature of a body as the average kinetic energy of translation of one of its molecules multiplied into a constant which is the same for all bodies. If we also define the total heat of the body as the sum of the whole kinetic energy of its molecules, then the total heat must be equal to the temperature multiplied into the number of molecules, and by the ratio of the whole kinetic energy to the energy of translation, and divided by the above constant.

The kinetic theory of gases has therefore a great deal to say about what Rankine and Clausius call the actual heat of a body, and if we suppose that molecules never coalesce or split up, but remain constant in number, then we may also assert, all experiments notwithstanding, that the real capacity for heat (as defined by Clausius) is constant for the same substance in all conditions.

Rankine, indeed, probably biased by the results of experiments, allowed that the real specific heat of a substance might be different in different states of aggregation, but Clausius has clearly shown that this admission is illogical, and that if we admit any such changes, we had better give up real specific heat altogether.

Statements of this kind have their legitimate place in molecular science, where it is essential to specify the dynamical condition of the system, and to distinguish the kinetic energy of the molecules from the potential energy of their configuration; but they have no place in thermodynamics proper, in which we deal only with sensible masses and their sensible motions.

Both Rankine and Clausius have pointed out the importance of a certain function, the increase or diminution

of which indicates whether heat is entering or leaving the body. Rankine calls it the thermodynamic function, and Clausius the entropy. Clausius, however, besides inventing the most convenient name for this function, has made the most valuable developments of the idea of entropy, and in particular has established the most important theorem in the whole science,—that when heat passes from one body to another at a lower temperature, there is always an increase of the sum of the entropy of the two bodies, from which it follows that the entropy of the universe must always be increasing.

He has also shown that if the energy of a body is expressed as a function of the volume and the entropy, then its pressure (with sign reversed) and its temperature are the differential coefficients of the energy with respect to the volume and the entropy respectively, thus indicating the symmetrical relations of the five principal quantities in thermodynamics.

But Clausius, having begun by breaking up the energy of the body into its thermal and ergonal content, has gone on to break up its entropy into the transformational value of its thermal content and the disgregation.

Thus both the energy and the entropy, two quantities capable of direct measurement, are broken up into four quantities, all of them quite beyond the reach of experiment, and all this is owing to the actual heat which Clausius, after getting rid of the latent heat, suffered to remain in the body.

Sir William Thomson, the last but not the least of the three great founders, does not even consecrate a symbol to denote the entropy, but he was the first to clearly define the intrinsic energy of a body, and to him alone are due the ideas and the definitions of the available energy and the dissipation of energy. He has always been most careful to point out the exact extent of the assumptions and experimental observations on which each of his statements is based, and he avoids the introduction of quantities which are not capable of experimental measurement. It is therefore greatly to be regretted that his memoirs on the dynamical theory of heat have not been collected and reprinted in an accessible form, and completed by a formal treatise, in which his method of building up the science should be exhibited in the light of his present knowledge.

The touchstone of a treatise on thermodynamics is what is called the second law.

Rankine, as we have seen, founds it on statements which may or may not be true, but which cannot be considered as established in the present state of science.

The second law is introduced by Clausius and Thomson as an axiom on which to found Carnot's theorem that the efficiency of a reversible engine is at least as great as that of any other engine working between the same limits of temperature.

If an engine of greater efficiency exists, then, by coupling this engine with Carnot's engine reversed, it is possible to restore to the hot body as much heat as is taken from it, and at the same time to do a certain amount of work.

If with Carnot we suppose heat to be a substance, then this work would be performed in direct violation of the first law—the principle of the conservation of energy. But if we regard heat as a form of energy, we cannot apply

<sup>1</sup> Continued from p. 259.

this method of *reductio ad absurdum*, for the work may be derived from the heat taken from the colder body.

Clausius supposes all the work gained by the first engine to be expended in driving the second. There is then no loss or gain of heat on the whole, but heat is taken from the cold body, and an equal quantity communicated to the hot body, and this process might be carried on to an indefinite extent.

In order to assert the impossibility of such a process in a form of words having sufficient verisimilitude to be received as an axiom, Clausius, in his first memoir, simply says that this process "contradicts the general deportment of heat, which everywhere exhibits the tendency to equalise differences of temperature, and therefore to pass from the warmer to the colder body."<sup>1</sup>

In its obvious and strict sense no axiom can be more irrefragable. Even in the hypothetical process, the impossibility of which it was intended to assert, every communication of heat is from a warmer to a colder body. When the heat is taken from the cold body it flows into the working substance which is at that time still colder. The working substance afterwards becomes hot, not by communication of heat to it, but by change of volume, and when it communicates heat to the hot body it is itself still hotter.

It is therefore hardly correct to assert that heat has been transmitted or transferred from the colder to the hotter body. There is undoubtedly a transfer of energy, but in what form this energy existed during its middle passage is a question for molecular science, not for pure thermodynamics.

In a note added in 1864 Clausius states the principle in a modified form, "that heat cannot of itself pass from a colder to a warmer body"<sup>1</sup> and finally, in the new edition of his "Theory of Heat" (1876) he substitutes for the words "of itself" the expression "without compensation."<sup>2</sup>

With respect to the first of these emendations we must remember that the words "of itself" are not intended to exclude the intervention of any kind of self-acting machinery, and it is easy, by means of an engine which takes in heat from a body at 200° C., and gives it out at 100° to drive a freezing machine so as to take heat from water at 0°, and so freeze it, and also a friction break so as to generate heat in a body at 500°. It would therefore be necessary to exclude all bodies except the hot body, the cold body, and the working substance, in order to exclude exceptions to the principle.

By the introduction of the second expression, "without compensation," combined with a full interpretation of this phrase, the statement of the principle becomes complete and exact; but in order to understand it we must have a previous knowledge of the theory of transformation-equivalents, or in other words of entropy, and it is to be feared that we shall have to be taught thermodynamics for several generations before we can expect beginners to receive as axiomatic the theory of entropy.

Thomson, in his "Third Paper on the Dynamical

<sup>1</sup> Und das widerspricht dem sonstigen Verhalten der Wärme, indem sie überall das Bestreben zeigt, vorkommende Temperaturdifferenzen auszugleichen und also aus den wärmeren Körpern in die kälteren überzugehen.

<sup>2</sup> Dass die Wärme nicht von selbst aus einem kälteren in einem wärmeren Körper übergehen kann.

<sup>3</sup> Ein Wärmeübergang aus einem kälteren in einem wärmeren Körper kann nicht ohne Compensation stattfinden.

Theory of Heat" (*Trans. R.S. Edin.*, xx., p. 265 (read March 17, 1851) has stated the axiom as follows:—

"It is impossible, by means of inanimate material agency, to derive mechanical effect from any portion of matter by cooling it below the temperature of the coldest of surrounding objects."

Without some further restriction this axiom cannot be considered as true, for by allowing air to expand we may derive mechanical effect from it by cooling it below the temperature of the coldest of surrounding objects.

If we make it a condition that the material agency is to be left in the same state at the end of the process as it was at first, and also that the mechanical effect is not to be derived from the pressure of the hot or of the cold body, the axiom will be rendered strictly true, but this brings us back to a simple re-assertion of Carnot's principle, except that it is extended from heat engines to all other kinds of inanimate material agency.

It is probably impossible to reduce the second law of thermodynamics to a form as axiomatic as that of the first law, for we have reason to believe that though true, its truth is not of the same order as that of the first law.

The first law is an extension to the theory of heat of the principle of conservation of energy, which can be proved mathematically true if real bodies consist of matter "as per definition," acted on by forces having potentials.

The second law relates to that kind of communication of energy which we call the transfer of heat as distinguished from another kind of communication of energy which we call work. According to the molecular theory the only difference between these two kinds of communication of energy is that the motions and displacements which are concerned in the communication of heat are those of molecules, and are so numerous, so small individually, and so irregular in their distribution, that they quite escape all our methods of observation; whereas when the motions and displacements are those of visible bodies consisting of great numbers of molecules moving all together, the communication of energy is called work.

Hence we have only to suppose our senses sharpened to such a degree that we could trace the motions of molecules as easily as we now trace those of large bodies, and the distinction between work and heat would vanish, for the communication of heat would be seen to be a communication of energy of the same kind as that which we call work.

The second law must either be founded on our actual experience in dealing with real bodies of sensible magnitude, or else deduced from the molecular theory of these bodies, on the hypothesis that the behaviour of bodies consisting of millions of molecules may be deduced from the theory of the encounters of pairs of molecules, by supposing the relative frequency of different kinds of encounters to be distributed according to the laws of probability.

The truth of the second law is therefore a statistical, not a mathematical, truth, for it depends on the fact that the bodies we deal with consist of millions of molecules, and that we never can get hold of single molecules.

Sir William Thomson<sup>1</sup> has shown how to calculate the

<sup>1</sup> "On the Kinetic Theory of the Dissipation of Energy," *Proc. R.S. Edin.*, February 16, 1874, vol. viii, p. 323, also in *NATURE*, vol. ix, p. 441.



probability of the occurrence within a given time of a given amount of deviation from the most probable distribution of a finite number of molecules of two different kinds in a vessel, and has given a numerical example of a particular case of the diffusion of gases.

The same method might be extended to the diffusion of heat by conduction, and the diffusion of motion by internal friction, which are also processes by which energy is dissipated in consequence of the motions and encounters of the molecules of the system.

The tendency of these motions and encounters is in general towards a definite state, in which there is an equilibrium of exchanges of the molecules and their momenta and energies between the different parts of the system.

If we restrict our attention to any one molecule of the system, we shall find its motion changing at every encounter in a most irregular manner.

If we go on to consider a finite number of molecules, even if the system to which they belong contains an infinite number, the average properties of this group, though subject to smaller variations than those of a single molecule, are still every now and then deviating very considerably from the theoretical mean of the whole system, because the molecules which form the group do not submit their procedure as individuals to the laws which prescribe the behaviour of the average or mean molecule.

Hence the second law of thermodynamics is continually being violated, and that to a considerable extent, in any sufficiently small group of molecules belonging to a real body. As the number of molecules in the group is increased, the deviations from the mean of the whole become smaller and less frequent; and when the number is increased till the group includes a sensible portion of the body, the probability of a measurable variation from the mean occurring in a finite number of years becomes so small that it may be regarded as practically an impossibility.

This calculation belongs of course to molecular theory and not to pure thermodynamics, but it shows that we have reason for believing the truth of the second law to be of the nature of a strong probability, which, though it falls short of certainty by less than any assignable quantity, is not an absolute certainty.

Several attempts have been made to deduce the second law from purely dynamical principles, such as Hamilton's principle, and without the introduction of any element of probability. If we are right in what has been said above, no deduction of this kind, however apparently satisfactory, can be a sufficient explanation of the second law. Indeed some of them have already indicated their unsoundness by leading to determinations of physical quantities which have no existence, such as the periodic time of the alternations of the volume of particular gases.<sup>1</sup>

J. CLERK MAXWELL

#### OUR BOOK SHELF

*Heroes of North African Discovery.* By N. D'Anvers. (London: Marcus Ward and Co., 1877.)

MR. D'ANVERS has here made an interesting *résumé* of

<sup>1</sup> See *Szil. Phil. Mag.*, October, 1876; Clausius, *Pogg. Ann.*, cxlii., p. 433; *Pogg. Ann.*, cxlvi., p. 585, May, 1872; J. J. Müller, *Pogg. Ann.*, clii., p. 125.

the work of the principal travellers who have made Africa known to the world. He briefly dismisses the earlier explorers, the bulk of the volume being devoted to those of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. Mr. D'Anvers has evidently read his authorities carefully, and gives a clear account of his heroes' adventures, and of the main results achieved. The book is evidently meant for young readers, and to them both the text and the numerous illustrations will prove attractive. But all who wish to have a fair knowledge of what has been hitherto achieved in the field of African discovery should read this interesting and instructive volume. The author prefixes a list of the authorities he has consulted, and promises another volume on South Africa, in which the results obtained by Mr. Stanley will be embodied.

*Manual of Agriculture; including the Application thereto of Chemistry, Geology, Botany, Animal Physiology, and Meteorology.* By Richard Henderson.

THIS is a reprint of one of the Highland Agricultural Society's prize essays. It forms a very marked exception from the thoroughly practical essays which are usually published by that society, so much so indeed, that it is a source of regret that a society which has done so much to improve agricultural education, should have in any way stamped the present work with its approval and authority.

The work is divided into seven chapters, of which five are devoted to some notices of chemistry, geology, botany, animal physiology, and meteorology, and the seventh alone treats upon the application of these sciences to agricultural practice, which is the professed subject of the work.

A few extracts from the first six chapters will give an idea of the character of this part of the work. The second chapter deals with chemistry, and is largely made up of comments upon eighteen elements, the descriptions being remarkably similar to those given by Roscoe in his "Lessons." It is fair to say that the author occasionally introduces original remarks, as, for instance, in saying that "carbon forms about fifty per cent. of the residue of plant-life when the latter is charred, and access of atmospheric air or oxygen prevented, for oxidised carbon escapes as a gas." Prof. Roscoe fares rather badly at the hands of our author, since he in another place says, "Roscoe gives the following graphic formula as the average composition of blood," and he appends the average percentage composition.

We are told again that at the sea-level the pressure of the air "can support a column of mercury thirty inches high in a tube *in vacuo*." Concerning fogs and mists, "they result from the radiation of heat from land and water, taking with it aqueous vapour, which becomes visible upon encountering cooler air. Similarly rain is produced when heated volumes of air are deprived of their heat, through the fall of condensed vapour, which assumes, according to the temperature it encounters, the form of hail, rain, or snow."

#### LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

[The Editor urgently requests correspondents to keep their letters as short as possible. The pressure on his space is so great that it is impossible otherwise to ensure the appearance even of communications containing interesting and novel facts.]

#### Sun-Spots and Terrestrial Magnetism

MR. B. G. JENKINS, in his letter to NATURE, vol. xvii. p. 260, says, "I have ventured to state my belief that we are now passing through a long minimum period, one very similar to that which occurred at the close of last century." It was the chief object of